



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,079	02/07/2001	Wataru Kubo	P20277	4565
7055	7590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2653	15
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/778,079	KUBO, WATARU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
riod for Renly			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Miles the second of the second o	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	February 2004.		
25)M Th	sis action is non-tinal.	A. H	
out of this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	d/or election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	to by the Evaminer	
to The drowing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected	overce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a).	
	the drawing(s) be neld in ab	eyance. See or or it the time	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the draw	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the atta	Ciled Office / Cilot of terms of the	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
4 Contified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received		
a Coursed copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	in Application No	
3 Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have t	been received in this Mational Olago	
application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies	s not received.	
Attachment(s)		·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	n	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date	
A Notice of Draffsnerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	o) =	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Othe	er:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No./Mail Date	

Application/Control Number: 09/778,079

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response of 2/10/04 has been considered with the following results.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 1. rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), 2. that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 1. 2.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or 4. nonobviousness.
- Claims 1,2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brezoczky 3. considered with Jutte et al and all further considered with Kamiyama et al.

Brezoczky et al teaches in this environment a plano-convex objective lens wherein the side facing the medium is flat - note col. 8, lines 21 plus. This is interpreted as meeting the required single objective lens limitation. With respect to the specifics of the index of refraction, no such specifics is mentioned, nevertheless, Jutte et al discloses a single objective glass lens having an index of refraction of at least 1.6, with a value of rms as 22 lambda. Applicant's attention is drawn to col. 5 lines 15-20 as well as col. 4 lines 65 plus.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of Brezoczky et al with the above teaching from Jutte et al motivation is to use existing materials for the objective lens, i.e., glass LAL10. Selection of Application/Control Number: 09/778,079

Art Unit: 2653

such elements is considered a selection between alternative equivalent materials and predicated upon such considerations such as cost, availability, and reliability.

Although Jutte et al provides for various NAs, the particular ability of having a NA of at least .7 is taught by Kamiyama et al, note col. 1 lines 12-58.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of Brezoczky et al – Jutte et al with the additional teaching from Kamiyama et al; motivation is to increase the flexibility of the objective lens so as to be used in the next generation optical recording/reproducing systems, which increase the recording density.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 1,2 and 6 as stated in paragraph 3 above, and further in view of Official notice and Kiriki et al.

With respect to the process step of claim 3, the ability of producing objective glass lenses by a mold is well known and Official notice is taken thereof.

Furthermore, the ability of having an outer flange for an objective lens is taught by Kiriki et al – see figure 19.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of the references relied upon above with respect to claims 1,2, and 6 with the above additional teachings in order to provide for a molded glass lens with a flange so as to be retrieved from the mold.

6. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 1,2 and 6 in paragraph 3 above, and further in view of Nakaoki et al.

Independent claim 5 includes an additional magnetic coil on the flat surface of the objective lens.

The above combination of references as relied upon with respect to claim 1 fail to specify such a position. Although Kamiyama et al provides for the magnetic coil, it is not on the surface of the objective lens.

Nakaoki et al teaches in this environment the placement of a magnetic coil onto a flat surface of the sil – see figure 6 for instance.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of the references relied upon with respect to claim 1, with the additional teaching of Nakaoki et al, motivation is seen as a relocation of parts (the coil structure for a near field sil) from one location to another. Relocation of parts without any unexpected results is not considered patentable. Such relocation of the coil would provide for a narrower information pulse upon the record medium (due to the magnetic field and optical ray interaction) and narrowing the recorded pulse increases the recording density.

Hard copies of the application files are now separated from this examining corps; hence the examiner can answer no questions that require a review of the file without sufficient lead-time.

Any inquiries concerning missing papers/references, etc. must be directed to Group 2600 Customer Services at (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization. where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Aristotelis M Psitos **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2653